

INFORMAL MEETING OF THE CALDERDALE DISTRICT CONSULTATION SUB-COMMITTEE

MEETING TO BE HELD AT 2.00 PM ON TUESDAY, 12 OCTOBER 2021
THIS MEETING WILL BE HELD REMOTELY AND WILL BE
LIVESTREAMED HERE:

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCazjNSGpqZZT41Vibn2ZK9A/live

AGENDA

1. OPEN FORUM

Open session for members of the public to ask a question, raise a concern or provide feedback.

- 2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
- 3. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS Transport Committee members only.
- 4. EXEMPT INFORMATION POSSIBLE EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC
- 5. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 16 MARCH 2021
 Please note that these minutes are presented for information and cannot be approved during this informal meeting.
 (Pages 1 4)
- 6. NOTES OF THE INFORMAL JOINT DCSC MEETING HELD ON 26 AUGUST 2021

For information. (Pages 5 - 14)

- 7. INTRODUCTIONS
- 8. CHAIR'S UPDATE AND TRANSPORT REVIEW
- 9. INFORMATION REPORT

(Pages 15 - 18)

10. OPERATOR UPDATES

11. WORKSHOP SESSION - TRAVEL AND TRANSPORT POST PANDEMIC

(Pages 19 - 20)

Signed:

Director of Transport and Property

West Yorkshire Combined Authority

Agenda Item 5



MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CALDERDALE DISTRICT CONSULTATION SUB-COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY, 16 MARCH 2021

Present:

Councillor Daniel Sutherland (Chair)
John Sheppard (Deputy Chair)
Myra James (Public Representative)
Geoff Wood (Public Representative)
Transport Committee
Public Representative
Public Representative

In attendance:

Edwin Swaris
Rebecca Cheung
Tom Gifford
Brandon Jones
Pete Myers
Dwayne Wells
Ben Kearns

West Yorkshire Combined Authority West Yorkshire Combined Authority West Yorkshire Combined Authority First Group Northern Trains Arriva Yorkshire West Yorkshire Combined Authority

19. Open Forum

A member of the public asked a question regarding the carbon impact assessment of corridor improvement schemes progressing through business case and whether they were to be included in the carbon audit. Officers from the Combined Authority would provide a written response.

A related question was asked about whether the Connectivity Strategy would be designed to contribute to the carbon reduction pathway. Officers in attendance noted that this would be addressed through the Connectivity Strategy presentation later on the agenda.

20. Apologies for absence

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Caffrey.

21. Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests

There were no declarations of interest at the meeting.

22. Exempt Information - Possible exclusion of the press and public

There were no items which required the exemption of the press and public.

23. Minutes of the meeting held on 13 October 2020

Resolved: That the minutes of the meeting held on 13 October 2020 be approved.

24. Workshop Session - Connectivity Infrastructure Plan

Members were provided with a presentation regarding the connectivity infrastructure plan.

Feedback was sought on all aspects of the plan and elected members of from across Calderdale had been invited to participate in the workshop as part of the public engagement process.

Members made the following comments:

- That rail connectivity at Mytholmroyd and Sowerby Bridge should be improved. Members also emphasised that bus links to train stations, particularly at Sowerby Bridge, should be improved.
- The possibility of implementing parking charges to discourage private vehicles, especially in town centres.
- That it was vital to ensure that rural areas were incorporated into the connectivity plan and bus network.
- That demand for commuter travel post covid could be gauged through targeted consultation with businesses in the Calder Valley and this intel should be fed into to the connectivity infrastructure plan.
- The importance of delivering greater connectivity in North Halifax.
- That key growth areas should be taken into account.
- That, on the commercial side, it was vital for bus ticketing to be transparent and affordable.
- That Transpennine services east west were vital, more so than north south links.
- That electrification of the Calder Valley was key and could provide a great benefit to Calderdale and the wider region.
- That further work was ongoing to understand the carbon impact of the connectivity plans.
- To learn from mass transit systems implemented in other cities across the UK and Europe.
- To ensure the best technology was used in the mass transit system to ensure a high quality and accessible transport link.
- That the infrastructure and vehicles in the bus network needed to be upgraded to ensure an attractive and comfortable user experience to increase patronage.
- Low emission buses were vital for air quality and climate change targets.
- That it was important to understand commuter patterns from businesses within the Calder valley including those employees who commute from outside the region to understand what could be done to persuade them to make their journey on public transport.

 That public transport links to the hospital from rural areas in Calderdale, and also Kirklees who also use the hospital, should be considered in the connectivity plan.

Resolved: That the presentation of the Connectivity Infrastructure plan and members' comments be noted.

25. Information Report

Members considered an information report which provided an update on transport issues in Calderdale.

Resolved: That the report be noted.

26. Operator Updates

Members were provided with verbal updates from several transport operators:

First

 First stated that service levels had lowered follow Department for Transport guidance around social distancing. Passenger levels were at 45% of pre-covid levels.

Arriva

- Arriva operated some tendered services on behalf of the local authorities and full service levels had been maintained across the district.
- Additional services had been run to allow socially distanced school bus travel.

Northern

 Northern noted that they have had to introduce multiple timetables with the current one lasting until May when the Summer timetable would be introduced. Patronage was at around 15% of pre-covid passenger numbers.

Resolved: That the operator updates be noted.



Agenda Item 6



NOTES OF THE INFORMAL MEETING OF THE JOINT DISTRICT CONSULTATION SUB COMMITTEES HELD REMOTELY ON THURSDAY, 26 AUGUST 2021

Present:

Councillor Susan Hinchcliffe (Chair) **Bradford Council** Councillor Kim Groves Leeds City Council Councillor Manisha Kaushik Kirklees Council Leeds City Council Councillor Lou Cunningham Wakefield Council Councillor Allan Garbutt Councillor Suhail Choudhry **Bradford Council** Councillor Helen Hayden Leeds City Council Councillor James Homewood Kirklees Council Councillor Rizwana Jamil **Bradford Council Bradford Council** Councillor Naveed Riaz Councillor Tai Salam **Bradford Council** Councillor Jane Scullion Calderdale Council

Usman Ali (Public Representative)

John Birkby (Public Representative)

Linda Bishop (Public Representative)

James Craig (Public Representative)

Howard Dews (Public Representative)

Stephen Hetherington (Public

Wakefield

Leeds

Leeds

Bradford

Bradford

Representative)

Andrew Jewsbury (Public Bradford

Representative)

Shaun Jordan (Public Representative) Kirklees Catherine Keighley (Public Leeds

Representative)

Peter Ketley (Public Representative)

Mark Parry (Public Representative)

Graham Peacock (Public Bradford

Representative)

John Prestage (Public Representative) Bradford Keith Renshaw (Public Representative) **Bradford** Judith Rhodes (Public Representative) Leeds Barrie Rigg (Public Representative) **Bradford** John Sheppard (Public Representative) Calderdale Leslie Webb (Public Representative) Leeds Geoff Wood (Public Representative) Calderdale Clive Woods (Public Representative) Leeds

In attendance:

Mark Fenwick Arriva Yorkshire

Graham Meiklejohn TransPennine Express

Paul Moses First Group
Pete Myers Northern Trains
Mohammed Raja First Group
Dwayne Wells Arriva Yorkshire

Andrew Bradley

Helen Ellerton

Thomas Lock

Dave Pearson

West Yorkshire Combined Authority

1. Introductions

The Chair welcomed members of the District Consultation Sub-Committees, and introduced herself, the lead Members of the Transport Committee, and the Chairs of each Sub-Committee.

2. The National Bus strategy and Bus Service Improvement Plan overview

Members received a presentation from the Director of Transport and Property Services on the Bus Service Improvement Plan. Some key themes were presented for discussion: 'Fares and ticketing', 'Bus network design', and 'Bus priority and supporting infrastructure'. Members were asked to feedback what they thought the most important things to consider in this plan would be. This was part of a wider engagement process, and it was hoped this would highlight the priorities from each district area.

The Government's National Bus Strategy had been published on 15 March 2021, setting out an important role for buses in the transport network and noting that a deregulated environment had not worked well for buses. As part of this, Local Transport Authorities (LTAs) had been given a deadline of 31 October 2021 to produce a Bus Service Improvement Plan (BSIP). This plan would set out the LTAs' intentions for the bus services in their region, and how they would deliver on the themes in the National Bus Strategy, which were that buses be:

- Faster and more reliable
- More frequent
- Better integrated with other modes of travel such as trains, walking and cycling
- Cheaper
- Easier to use and to understand
- More comprehensive.

The BSIP was also being designed to align with the Mayor's pledges for the bus service, including bringing the bus service back under public control and supporting more environmentally-friendly buses. It would also serve as a bidding document for the Government funding stream behind the National Bus Strategy.

The Bus Services Act included methods through which LTAs can work with bus companies to strengthen the collective role of management of the bus service. In line with this, and recognising the importance of buses to the people of the region, the Combined Authority was proposing to establish a more formal enhanced partnership with bus operators, and also look to forward at potentially undertaking a franchising scheme, as had been approved at the June 2021 meeting of the Combined Authority. Final recommendations regarding whether franchising could deliver the aims of the plan more effectively were expected to be made to the Mayor and the Combined Authority in 2023.

Members raised the following questions and concerns:

- Should Northern Rail be included as a fourth partner, given the strong integration between bus and rail and the Combined Authority's existing close relationship with Northern Rail? These linkages would be kept the Enhanced Partnership as prescribed by the Bus Services Act would be between the LTA, the Highway Authority and the bus companies, but rail and other forms of transport would still be important considerations.
- Faster services would require bus priorities on corridors to implement existing bus priority lanes had already shown a strong impact.
- Including areas on the outskirts and housing estates would be vital, as well as places like employment zones, anchor organisations like hospitals, etc.
- To achieve the aims of the National Bus Strategy, particularly cheaper fares, buy-in from bus operators would be required.
- Would bus operators be bound by the BSIP? The aim of the BSIP was
 to develop a partnership with operators, and it was believed this would
 be beneficial for operators in terms of increasing numbers of
 passengers. If operators did not commit to this partnership, government
 funding could be affected, and the Combined Authority had the option
 of taking further franchising powers to direct bus operators.
- How would success be measured? Targets would be set for patronage, average journey time, reliability, customer satisfaction measures, etc. This would inform the recommendation made to the Mayor and Combined Authority about pursuing franchising. However, it was recognised this would be made more difficult by the effect of pandemic on patronage, which was still in the process of recovering.
- Integration between bus and other modes of transport, particularly cycle, were welcomed but would require proper infrastructure, such as secure structures/facilities for locking bikes. The difficulties of carrying heavier bikes in certain situations was also raised, as was the feasibility of bringing bikes onto buses.

Concerns were also raised that the strategy of a partnership had been attempted before with Local Transport Plans, and that bus services had been in decline for many years before the pandemic, with many cancellations and a loss of public trust in the service – what would make the BSIP succeed where previous attempts had not? Officers advised that this partnership was different in that it involved a structural change; it would fundamentally incorporate the

funding streams, and would push operators to move toward things they had historically been averse to, such as multi-operator ticketing. Additionally, the historical loss of bus patronage reflected the national situation rather than anything unique to West Yorkshire.

It was also noted that for the bus network to grow, a new, modern, integrated approach had to be taken. The overall improvement of the bus service would go beyond the BSIP and would include measures being implemented as part of the Leeds Public Transport Investment Programme and through the Transforming Cities Fund. It would be important to integrate these measures and to work with operators to offer different models, such as the Demand Responsive Transport (DRT) as currently being trialled in East Leeds.

3. Discussion 1: Fares and ticketing

Members were asked to consider several questions relating to fares and ticketing for the bus service.

- Is it right that the ticketing structure gives discounts to regular uses and charges walk-in users a higher rate? Does this encourage people to use the service? How will the long-term impact to working from home impact this?
- Are uniform flat fares desirable, or would graduated fares which were simpler than the current offer be preferable?
- Should fares be the same across all districts?
- Would a contactless capping system as used in London work well here?
- Should concessionary fare schemes (currently offered to under-19s and for the elderly and disabled people) be extended to any further customer groups?

It was noted that offering lower fares to new/walk-on customers could work well in encouraging people to try the bus service, as could promotions such as group ticketing.

Members also discussed the fares offered in other areas, such as Edinburgh and London. Edinburgh offered a capped fare on travel through the day, as well as a cap on individual journeys. London used flat fares with the Oyster card. These schemes were praised for being simple to use, particularly if prospective passengers were unfamiliar with the route or may make more spontaneous journeys. However, it was noted that West Yorkshire as a region had different needs and challenges than Edinburgh and London, and we were multi-centered, with a number of city and town centres that are major destination points, and our journeys may be more complicated than those of people in Edinburgh or London.

It was questioned whether the choice was between flat fares and capped fares, or whether both could be implemented together. Officers advised that a combination of both was possible with a flat fare for single journeys and a daily cap, and this would mean that passengers would not need to tap off the bus, which would be required if more complicated fares were used. The M-card day ticket currently worked similarly to a cap system in the region, however,

passengers unfamiliar with the bus service may not be aware of this. Passengers were often given a particular operator's own day ticket, which would not be accepted by other operators.

Members raised the following other questions and comments:

- If an Oyster-card style scheme were implemented, who would pay for the computer system? The Government had identified they would fund this, but there were questions as to how long this would take and what would be required to make existing systems compatible with this.
- Had research been done on what models best drove usage? Certain models, such as flat fares, may seem attractive but be less relevant at a time when only a small minority of users paid through cash. Officers advised that the data and needs relating to our region were being examined closely, rather than simply adopting what models had worked well elsewhere.
- The importance of marketing was highlighted, with buses being noted as appearing less effective at promotions compared to rail. It was anticipated that the loss of patronage caused by the pandemic would cause this to change, with new discount schemes potentially due to be announced soon.
- Flat fares could risk making short journeys more costly, when bus journeys were already viewed to be expensive. The potential of having multiple levels of flat fares was discussed, to better differentiate between long distances and short city journeys.
- The need to consider people who use cash was raised, to ensure that they weren't left behind in regard to these improvements.
- It was noted that in some areas around the world, LTAs were implementing bus services which were free at the point of use and were funded by taxpayers.

4. Discussion 2: Bus network design

Members were advised of plans to categorise bus services into different tiers, with different kinds of journeys having different requirements.

- A core network of 'turn up and go' services, running every 15 minutes or so on major routes, where the priority would be to extend and enhance these routes. These services would be expected to be commercially viable.
- A secondary network which would run less frequently and may need to be partially subsidised. The priority for these journeys would be improved consistency, with new connections.
- A network for tendered services and community connectivity needs, dealing with socially necessary and contracted journeys. These would also need to be made more consistent, with the possibility of replacing some of these services with DRT where appropriate.

This would be part of a five-year plan to evolve the bus service, without losing the existing capabilities and important role it already played.

Members were asked to consider several questions relating to bus network design:

- Is the above-mentioned evolutionary approach the right one for the region, or would a revolutionary approach (redrawing and starting the network from scratch) be better?
- What are the priority areas and locations for new bus links and connections?
- Would replacing certain services with DRT be welcomed?
- Which customer groups should be considered as a priority to target with better bus network connectivity?

It was noted that the funding available as part of the National Bus Strategy was a one-off payment, and therefore it was important to consider future maintenance. The Combined Authority's intention was to use the available funding to create a sustainable atmosphere, where the bus service could continue without significant further public funding.

Members questioned whether the strategic development plans, employment plans, etc, of district councils been considered. Attracting developments that would reduce car usage from the outset would need these facilities built into the network in advance, and currently many housing developments of recent years were poorly served by public transport services, with Hade Edge in Kirklees being highlighted, although it was noted that First Group were currently in talks with local groups on how this area could be better served.

Officers advised that a long-term plan for the bus network was developed in 2018/2019 taking into account what was currently known about future plans, although the pandemic had since impacted on these plans. Mechanisms also existed to acquire initial funding for services in these situations, such as Section 106 agreements or developer contributions, and DRT could also be of use in this scenario.

Members raised the following other questions and comments:

- DRT was highlighted as playing an important role going forward, particularly as transport patterns had changed and were continuing to do so, but the new needs had not yet been modelled. DRT could fill these needs while also gathering data for where future services would best be developed. However, it was warned that DRT would never be commercially viable, as due to the relatively smaller number of passengers per driver they were more expensive to run.
- The potential use of shuttle bus/access bus services, taking people who lived away from main roads to other local destinations such as schools and doctor's surgeries, was discussed.
- The difficulty in tailoring the plan to better connect deprived communities with areas of employment, education, etc, while still ensuring areas with high car-ownership are well-served by buses in order to lower car use and meet carbon targets was discussed. A dichotomy existed between the desire to simplify fares and the bus service in general while meeting the different needs of some of these groups.
- The 'hub and spoke' model was discussed. It was noted that this model required frequent and regular services. A trade-off also inevitably existed between having less frequent direct services, and more

frequent services that required bus changes.

5. Discussion 3: Bus priority and supporting infrastructure

It was highlighted that reliability and punctuality were frequently cited as the most important issues to bus passengers, and the lack of these (along with long journey times) were also attributed by those who did not use the bus as the key reasons behind this. In order to improve these measures, it was necessary to consider how bus services could be prioritised over other road users.

A number of potential areas were highlighted, including bus lanes, bus gates, traffic signal priority, and increased kerb space for buses, as well as the enforcement of existing measures such as bus lanes and car parking charges.

Members were asked to consider several questions relating to bus priority and supporting infrastructure:

- What are the factors that cause delay for buses?
- Where should efforts be focused to improve bus infrastructure?
- Should general traffic be slowed down to speed up buses?

It was noted that as part of the Combined Authority's longer-term carbon targets, an overall reduction in car users on the road was required, which may involve a reduction in road space for cars. However, the focus for the BSIP was the best return on investment toward supporting the bus service, with carbon reduction being a longer-term priority.

Members raised the following other questions and comments:

- Members discussed the need for buses to have priority at traffic lights in bus lanes, and the SCOOT system. This had been implemented in some parts of the region, but not all.
- The increase in journey times pre-Covid was raised. Extra time being
 put into the system could cause unnecessary delays on days with less
 congestion, as buses would be waiting at the bus stop in order to stay
 on this slower schedule. However, it was noted this was done to
 increase reliability.
- The importance of bus priority was highlighted; if buses were to become
 faster and more reliable, more people would likely leave their cars to
 use the bus service, resulting in less congestion, making this a virtuous
 circle, and one that ultimately would likely speed up the remaining
 general traffic rather than slowing it down.
- Members noted the need to focus on areas outside of city and town centres, with Harrogate Road leading out of Leeds being highlighted.
- It was noted that many car users were people such as care workers
 who visited patients in their homes, and others who required a car in
 the course of their work, and it was important not to treat these people
 punitively.
- The need to consider active travel methods in terms of infrastructure was also raised.
- An interactive map had been used in previous consultations, and DCSC members noted that this may be a useful tool to highlight where bus

- infrastructure efforts should be focused.
- How would any road schemes factor into our environmental assessments and targets? Would slowing down general traffic mean creating more standing traffic or congestion, which could negatively impact air quality? Would new buses be needed as part of this plan? It was noted that new buses would be required as part of the plan to decarbonise the bus network. All new buses from now were required to be zero-carbon, though this would require significant investment and questions remained to be answered.

6. Discussion 4: Other key themes

Officers advised DCSC members of other work that had been undertaken or was planned with bus operators to support the BSIP, including on customer service, shared metro branding and joint comms, multimodal integration, and ensuring that how the bus service could support equality, diversity and inclusion – and how it could help more people to be included in wider society – was considered throughout the work.

Members were asked to consider several questions:

- How could the customer experience for bus passengers be improved?
- What role should the bus service play in decarbonisation?
- Had anything been missed?

Members raised the following questions and comments:

- Better coordination of timetabling between different operators would be useful, although the difficulties were recognised, particularly in light of driver shortages. More integration was planned by operators in the near future.
- Members questioned why toilets at bus stations were not free, compared to those at rail stations.
- The importance of bus shelters having accessible, accurate, and up-to-date information was highlighted, as well as the need for protection from the rain. Many bus stop timetables had been removed; this had been done over the course of the pandemic due to the rapid change of services, and timetables were removed rather than remaining with incorrect information. These were in the process of being reintroduced, and it was noted that up-to-date 'next bus' information for particular stops could be accessed through mobile phones, using the QR code at each stop. However, paper timetables were important for those without internet-capable mobile phones.
- It was questioned why digital screens couldn't be installed at every bus stop. The aim was to have these screens at all busier stops throughout the region, but with 14,000 stops, not enough screens were available to install them everywhere.
- Members questioned whether BSIP funding was capital or revenue –
 there would be elements of both, but only a single payment would be
 given, so the need existed to make best use of this money over a long
 period, and to find any needed money for maintenance.
- Express/limited stop buses could be useful, although it was noted that converting existing routes to these could be unpopular.

- It was noted that roadworks were a large contributor to congestion issues.
- Could bus stops be given clearer names to encourage people to have a
 better understanding of the network, particularly in terms of modal shift?
 This would be looked at for certain key destinations, where a more
 descriptive name could potentially be chosen than intersecting road
 names. Additionally, audio-visual announcements were being
 implemented on buses nationally.
- The audio announcements at bus stops were noted as sometimes being very difficult to hear due to road noise. This was currently being worked on.
- Members noted a number of bus stops which appeared to no longer be in use and asked whether they could be removed.

7. Next steps

The BSIP would be considered at the Transport Committee meeting on 17 September 2021. There would then be a sign-off process through the Bus Alliance executive board, and then the BSIP would be brought to the Combined Authority on 22 October 2021 for final sign-off before submission to the Department for Transport.

Members were invited to send any further comments or feedback via email, and it was noted that there was an intention to have a further, larger bus conversation next year to gather views on the Enhanced Partnership, with a particular aim to hear from those who were not normally reached by such consultations.





Report to: Calderdale District Consultation Sub Committee

Date: 12 October 2021

Subject: Information Report

Director(s): Dave Pearson, Director of Transport & Property Services

Author(s): Various

1. Purpose of this report

- 1.1 To update the sub-committee on matters of information relating to the Calderdale District.
- 1.2 Updates on regional and national issues are covered in the papers for Transport Committee, the latest set are available on the following link; WYCA - Modern Gov
- 1.3 A list of current projects in Calderdale District where there is current activity is provided at Appendix 1.

2. Information

A641 Improvement Scheme: Bradford - Brighouse - Huddersfield

- 2.1 Calderdale Council, working closely with the neighbouring authorities of Kirklees and Bradford, and with the West Yorkshire Combined Authority, is developing a series of improvements to the A641. The proposals aim to help provide better connections for the communities along and between Huddersfield, Brighouse and Bradford. A consultation phase has been completed for the project and analysis of feedback is now underway.
- 2.2 Further information is available at https://www.yourvoice.westyorks-ca.gov.uk/a641,

Halifax Bus Station

2.3 Work has now started on the £17.7 million new bus station for Halifax delivered by the West Yorkshire Combined Authority in partnership with Calderdale Council. Alongside improved passenger facilities and better access

to the town centre, plans for the new bus station include environmentally friendly features, such as measures to enable the future introduction of electric bus charging points, bike parking and solar panels, as well as a living roof complete with plants.

2.4 Many bus services are operating from the town centre during the day with some evening services returning to the station from 7pm with some services remaining on site during construction. Posters detailing where to catch buses and how to find out more about the project will be displayed at the bus station, on buses, and on key buildings and bus stops across the town centre.

Consultation on the Police and Crime Plan

2.5 A region-wide consultation was launched on 1 September, seeking feedback on the Mayor's Police and Crime Plan, which sets out the strategic policing and crime priorities for West Yorkshire. The consultation will run until 17 October; more information on the consultation is available at:

www.yourvoice.westyorks-ca.gov.uk/police-and-crime-plan-consultation

3. Recommendations

3.1 That the information report be noted.

4. Background Documents

None.

5. Appendices

Appendix 1 – Calderdale Current Project Activity

Calderdale – Current project activity (October 2021)

Project	Scheme Description	Key Information
Improved Streets for People - North Halifax	Cycle and walking infrastructure improvements, increasing active travel between N Halifax and town centre	Public consultation March 2021
Improved Streets for People – West Halifax	Cycle and walking infrastructure improvements, increasing active travel	Public consultation March 2021
Urban Traffic Management Control	Integration of five District Urban Traffic Control Centres to a single cloud system Integration of the five traffic management systems.	Due to complete March 2021
ULEV Taxi Scheme	88 rapid chargers for electric vehicles across the whole of West Yorkshire	Completion March 2022
Bradley to Brighouse (CityConnect)	Off highway active travel route linking Bradley and Brighouse	Currently in development. Due on site mid 2022.
A629 Phase 1B	To deliver improvements to a section of the A629 to the south of Halifax town centre, focused around the existing Calder & Hebble highway junction that includes the A629 Huddersfield Road, Stainland Road and the A6026 Wakefield Road	Started early construction works as part of the Design and Build Programme whilst also concluding land acquisition. FBC+ Part 2 to be submitted Aug 2021.
Halifax Station Gateway	This scheme is part of the wider Station Gateways programme to transform public realm, expand retail opportunities and improve passenger experiences. This scheme aims to construct a new station building that links to the current Grade II listed building, bring a disused platform back in to use, and relocate the car parking. The land in front of the station will also be redeveloped to enable	Consultation has just closed (Late Feb) for this scheme to which Motts are produce the report based on the survey submissions

Project	Scheme Description	Key Information
	walking and cycling access from the station into the town centre.	
A641	The A641 project will identify interventions across all transport modes for the A641 between Odsal Top in Bradford and Huddersfield Ring Road, and the A644 between Brighouse and M62 J25.	The A641 Scheme is currently out for preconsultation with a further in-depth consultation taking place in the Summer 2021.
A58 Corridor	Corridor improvements to reduce congestion, improve reliability as part of the Corridor Improvement Programme	Due to start on site Winter 21/22, to complete Winter 23/24
A646 Corridor	Corridor improvements to reduce congestion, improve reliability as part of the Corridor Improvement Programme	Due to start on site Winter 21/22, to complete Winter 23/24
A629N Ogden to Orange Street Rdbt	Corridor improvements to reduce congestion, improve reliability as part of the Corridor Improvement Programme	Consultation due to be undertaken about the scheme proposals
Elland rail station and access package	A new rail station on the Leeds – Huddersfield line, including a package of access improvements to / from the station.	Planning application for the rail station currently under consideration, targeting a decision by 26/10/21, standard consultation expiry date is 29/09/21. Planning application for the access package
Halifax Bus Station	A new bus station on the same site as the existing bus station.	approved. Work started on site on 6 September 2021.
		Most bus services were moved out of the bus station to existing bus stops in the town centre.



Report to: Calderdale District Consultation Sub Committee

Date: 12 October 2021

Subject: Workshop Session – Travel & Transport Post Pandemic

Director(s): Dave Pearson, Director Transport & Property Services

Author(s): Helen Ellerton, Interim Head of Transport Planning

1. Information

- 1.1 Demand for travel reduced significantly during the periods of lockdown restrictions and is recovered since restrictions have eased. Whilst the restrictions necessitated short term changes in travel it is becoming clear that the pandemic will have a long term impact on travel behaviours.
- 1.2 This workshop will consider current data and customer research. Reflect on how perceptions of travel and transport may have been altered and engage the sub committee on a discussion asking;
 - How has Covid changed your travel habits and perception of safety?
 - What needs to happen to enable transport to support an economically sustainable recovery?
 - How do we ensure transport options enable the widest range of people to be included in society?

2. Recommendations

2.1 That the Sub-Committee's feedback be noted.

3. Background Documents

None

4. Appendices

None

